			-	•	
			;≏	· .	
. 8-26-82)	- (	FBI	-3		
Telatype	3	PRECEDENCE:	CLASSIFICATION		
DECLASSI CN 04-17	FIED BY,60324 u -2009,	c baw/dk/sbs	Date 9	/21/84	
TO:	DIRECTOR,	PBI		S E CARE T	
FROM:	SAC, WASHI	NGTON FIELD OFFICE	(65C-13191) (C	) (CI-7)	
THE UNI		D DOCUMENTS FROM TRADE REPRESENTATIV	es;	, ,	
	<del>ed in this otherwise n</del>	•	lassified *Se		
had bee that th statute was not recomme Propert	Reference Reference Referenced en coordinat be DOJ had d e had occure used in th ended this m cy (TGP) and	communication are c	84. Sed that capt ENT OF JUSTIC Fiolation of a mal defense i a USTR report a Theft of G opinion be o	ioned matter E (DOJ) and n espionage nformation . DOJ overnment	
had bee that th statute was not recomme Propert from th HARKINS decided	Reference Reference Reference n coordinat DOJ had d had occure used in th ended this m y (TGP) and U.S. Atto On 9/18/84 d advised th that this	communication are communication are communication advi ed with the DEPARTM etermined that no v d inasmuch as natic e preparation of th atter be pursued as that a prosecutive	84. Sed that capt ENT OF JUSTIC Tiolation of a mal defense i the USTR report a Theft of G opinion be o O.C. torney (AUSA) the captioned tive merit und	ioned matter E (DOJ) and n espionage nformation . DOJ overnment btained CHARLES matter and	
had bee that th statute was not recomme Propert from th HARKINS decided statute	Reference Reference Reference n coordinat DOJ had d had occure used in th ended this m y (TGP) and to U.S. Atto On 9/18/84 advised th that this and theref	communication are c oted. Butel to WFO, 8/27/ communication advi ed with the DEPARTM etermined that no v d inasmuch as natic e preparation of th atter be pursued as that a prosecutive rney, Washington, D , Assistant U.S. At at he had reviewed case lacks prosecut ore declined prosecut	Restrict Second 84. Sed that capt ENT OF JUSTIC Fiolation of a mal defense i the USTR report a Theft of G opinion be o 0.C. Storney (AUSA) the captioned sive merit und sution.	ioned matter E (DOJ) and n espionage nformation . DOJ overnment btained CHARLES matter and er the TGP	
had bee that th statute was not recomme Propert from th HARKINS decided statute	Reference Reference Reference n coordinat DOJ had d had occure used in th ended this m y (TGP) and U.S. Atto On 9/18/84 advised th that this and theref Based upon	communication are c oted. Butel to WFO, 8/27/ communication advi ed with the DEPARTM etermined that no v d inasmuch as natic e preparation of th atter be pursued as that a prosecutive rney, Washington, D , Assistant U.S. At at he had reviewed case lacks prosecut ore declined prosecut	<ul> <li>lassified "Se</li> <li>84.</li> <li< td=""><td>ioned matter E (DOJ) and n espionage nformation . DOJ overnment btained CHARLES matter and er the TGP</td><td></td></li<></ul>	ioned matter E (DOJ) and n espionage nformation . DOJ overnment btained CHARLES matter and er the TGP	

!

. I be there are a second to be a first the